

# Complications of Periorbital Cosmetic Hyaluronic Acid Filler Injections: A Major Review

₱ Hilal Nalcı Baytaroğlu¹, ₱ Melek Banu Hoşal²

<sup>1</sup>University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Ulucanlar Eye Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Ophthalmology Ankara, Türkiye

<sup>2</sup>Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Ophthalmology, Ankara, Türkiye

#### **Abstract**

Hyaluronic acid (HA) filler injection is one of the most common methods for managing signs of aging in the periorbital area and is considered a safe and reversible procedure. The purpose of this review was to perform a comprehensive analysis of the incidence, risk factors, pathophysiology, signs and symptoms, and treatment methods of complications related to cosmetic periocular HA filler injections, as well as review hyaluronidase indications, appropriate dosage, and safety measures. Complications were classified as immediate injection-related reactions (erythema, early edema, bruising/ hematoma), early complications (loss of vision, acute infection, early contour irregularities, persistent edema), late complications (late edema, late contour irregularities), blue discoloration, xanthelasma palpebrarum, and filler in the orbit. Prospective and retrospective studies as well as case reports were reviewed. Immediate injection-related reactions such as erythema, edema, and bruising/hematoma were the most reported complications, followed by early contour irregularities and blue discoloration. Persistent and late edema and late contour irregularities were reported less frequently. These were mainly minor complications that were reversible through conservative management or hyaluronidase injection. Filler-related loss of vision, xanthelasma palpebrarum, and filler in the orbit were infrequent but potentially serious complications that could cause patients significant distress. These were mainly reported through case reports and case series. Urgent treatment with high dose hyaluronidase is necessary for successful management of injectionrelated vision loss. Physicians must have a thorough knowledge of orbital anatomy, the signs and symptoms of complications, and how to avoid them, and must be equipped to intervene immediately if necessary.

**Keywords:** Hyaluronic acid, complication, edema, nodules, blue discoloration, inflammation, infection, vision loss

Cite this article as: Nalcı Baytaroğlu H, Hoşal MB. Complications of Periorbital Cosmetic Hyaluronic Acid Filler Injections: A Major Review.

Turk J Ophthalmol.2025;55:276-286

Address for Correspondence: Hilal Nalcı Baytaroğlu, University of Health Sciences Türkiye, Ulucanlar Eye Training and Research Hospital, Clinic of Ophthalmology Ankara, Türkiye

E-mail: hilalnalci@hotmail.com ORCID-ID: orcid.org/0000-0003-4463-1413
Received: 20.11.2024 Accepted: 29.05.2025

DOI: 10.4274/tjo.galenos.2025.45213

## Introduction

Facial aging is characterized by three main components: volume loss, gravitational tissue descent, and deterioration of skin quality and laxity. The most common signs of aging in the periorbital region are the formation of tear troughs, prominent upper eyelid sulcus, brow descent, and wrinkles. All of these contribute to a "tired" and "old" appearance that leads individuals to seek rejuvenating treatments. Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a hydrophilic material that can increase skin turgor and hydration. It activates dermal fibroblasts, stimulates collagen neogenesis, and acts as an anti-inflammatory agent in certain forms. Injected HA can be degraded using hyaluronidase, providing patients and physicians with a sense of reversibility and safety. These properties make HA a near-ideal material, and injection of HA fillers is one of the most preferred rejuvenation methods

There are various types of HA fillers with different rheologic properties, molecular weight, and crosslinking techniques, all produced for specific regions and indications.<sup>2,4</sup> The elastic modulus (G') of an HA filler represents its capacity to return to its original form once a shearing stress is removed. A filler with higher G' is firmer, more resistant to tissue pressure, more durable, and has more lift power. The viscous modulus (G'') represents the resistance to dynamic forces. A filler with higher G'' has less liquid-like properties and is less prone to deform and flow when injected into tissue.<sup>5,6,7</sup>

HA filler injection has been used in the periorbital area since the early 2000s and is generally regarded as a safe and effective method with high patient satisfaction.<sup>8</sup> However, several complications ranging from minor injection site reactions to chronic edema and contour irregularities, filler migration, and rarely, vision loss can be encountered. While some complications are related to injection technique or filler properties, the exact



reason for others is yet to be understood.<sup>3</sup> With the increasing popularity of filler injection, an increase in the rate of these adverse events is inevitable. The purpose of this paper was to report the frequency, findings, risk factors, and methods for prevention and treatment of complications related to cosmetic periorbital HA filler injections through a systematic review of retrospective and prospective studies and case reports. We also addressed hyaluronidase indications, appropriate dosage, and safety measures.

I. Immediate injection-related reactions: These are categorized as erythema, early edema, and bruising/hematoma.

#### Erythema

Erythema is reddening of the skin due to vasodilation triggered by a cutaneous inflammatory reaction to an irritant factor. It is usually mild and transient, with the highest reported rate being 40% in one study. Rates of erythema in various studies are presented in Table 1. Preexisting skin conditions like rosacea and certain injection techniques like serial needle injection are risk factors for erythema. Waiting 1 month after the treatment of dermatitis and up to 3 months after the treatment of active rosacea is recommended to avoid inflammatory reactions. Cold application, short-term steroid ointments, and vitamin K cream can be used to manage erythema.

## Early Edema

Early edema can occur as reaction to skin and soft tissue trauma caused by injection, or due to a type 1 hypersensitivity reaction (HSR).

Injection-related early edema has been reported at rates of 0-100% (Table 1). Needle injection and lower viscosity HA gels were associated with lower rates of edema. Needle, using ice packs before and after injection, and avoiding alcohol consumption for 12-24 hours before and after injection are beneficial measures. Using antihistamines for patients with known previous allergic reactions, mixing filler with triamcinolone or silicate creams, and preferring an upright sleeping position are other recommended precautions against injection-related edema.

Type 1 HSR (angioedema) should be kept in mind in cases of excessive bilateral generalized eyelid edema starting within minutes to hours after injection. Urticaria and itching may accompany. Medical treatment is via oral antihistaminics and corticosteroids. Patients should be monitored closely, as generalized symptoms involving the respiratory, gastrointestinal, or cardiovascular systems require hospitalization with intravenous treatment.<sup>3,40</sup>

## Bruising/Hematoma

Bruising and hematomas occur due to compromised vascular integrity. This complication is rather common, with rates of 0-100% in various studies (<u>Table 1</u>). <sup>10-36</sup> Using a 22-gauge or thinner cannula is reported to result in lower rates of bruising compared to needles. <sup>27,32</sup> Marking the injection site beforehand,

avoiding frequent passes with the needle, and applying ice before and after injections are other beneficial measures. <sup>11</sup> A cardiology consultation should be requested for patients on anticoagulants to weigh the risks and benefits of discontinuation. As certain supplements such as garlic, hawthorn, gingko biloba, chondroitin-glucosamine, echinacea, aloe vera, and St. John's wort are shown to increase the risk of bleeding, physicians must take a detailed history of dietary supplements and advise patients to avoid these ingredients for 2 weeks prior to injection. <sup>41</sup> Alcohol should be avoided for 12-24 hours before and after injection. <sup>11,14,38</sup> Bruising is usually mild and disappears within a few days with conservative interventions such as ice packing.

II. Early complications: These are categorized as vision loss, acute infection, persistent edema, and early contour irregularities.

#### Vision Loss

## 1. Filler Embolization of Vascular Structures

Although rare, partial or complete loss of vision resulting from arterial occlusion after HA injection is one of the most devastating complications of fillers. None of the studies in this review reported vision loss. However, according to a recent review of 60 documented cases of filler-related vision loss between 2015 and 2018, the injection area was the brow in 3 (5%) of the cases and the tear trough in 1 (1.7%).<sup>42</sup> Vision loss with or without pain usually occurs immediately, within minutes to hours, or up to a day in rare cases. Vision can range from no light perception to Snellen 0.7, depending on the scale of vascular involvement.<sup>43</sup> Nausea or vomiting, ophthalmoplegia, exotropia, ptosis, skin necrosis, and acute ischemic stroke may be among associated signs and symptoms.<sup>42</sup>

The supratrochlear artery (STA), supraorbital artery (SOA), dorsal nasal artery (DNA), and angular artery (AA) are distal branches of the ophthalmic artery (OA). Inadvertent injection into these arteries can lead to retrograde embolization of the OA, central retinal artery, and choroidal arteries, or may cause posterior ischemic optic neuropathy, leading to vision loss. 42,44 In the tear trough, the area between a line crossing the medial pupil and the lateral wall of the nose is described as a danger zone due to the presence of anastomoses of the nasal branch of the infraorbital artery to the STA, DNA, and AA. 45 Injection into the STA, SOA, and their branches is the main concern during superior sulcus and brow injections. 44

Excellent knowledge of anatomy and compliance with injection guidelines are important to avoid arterial embolization. Using blunt cannulas or small-bore needles and smaller syringes, withdrawing before injection, gentle and slow injection with multiple small boluses, and avoiding previously traumatized areas are among the suggested precautions.<sup>3,44</sup> High frequency ultrasound is suggested as a potential tool to help avoid vascular complications during injection by simultaneously identifying injection planes and danger zones such as the infraorbital foramen.<sup>46</sup> Injection must stop as soon as the patient complains of pain or vision loss. Immediate injection of hyaluronidase is the main intervention technique.

Table 1. Ch	aracteristics a	nd complication	rates of stu	Table 1. Characteristics and complication rates of studies of cosmetic hyaluronic acid filler injection in the periorbital area	hyaluronic a	cid filler inje	ection in the p	veriorbital area	ı				
Study	Treatment	Filler agent/ method	Patient number	Follow-up*	Erythema	Bruising	Early edema	Early contour irregularity	Blue discoloration	Persistent edema	Late	Vision	Late contour irregularity
Goldberg et al. <sup>10</sup> , 2006	Infraorbital, eyebrow	Restylane/ suborbicularis	155	Up to 12 mo	(%0)0	42 (27%)	18 (15%)	18 (15%)	12 (10%)	5 (3%)	(%0)0	(%0) 0	0%0) 0
Morley et al.", 2009	Eyebrow	Restylane/ preperiosteal	27	Mean 13 mo	(%0)0	27 (100%)	27 (100%)	(%0)0	N/A	(%0)0	1 (3.7%)	(%0) 0	0%0) 0
Berros <sup>53</sup> , 2010	Infraorbital	Restylane/ preperiosteal	26	3 то	(%0)0	7 (13%)	12 (21%)	2 (14%)	1 (3%)	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Choi et al. <sup>13</sup> , 2011	Upper eyelid/ superior sulcus	Restylane/ suborbicularis	7	Mean 9.6 (3-18) mo	(%0)0	7 (100%)	7 (100%)	(%0)0	N/A	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Morley and Malhotra <sup>14</sup> , 2011	Infraorbital	Perlane/ suborbicularis	100	Mean 5.1 (1-18) mo	(%0)0	75 (75%)	26 (26%)	33 (33%)	4(4%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Viana et al. <sup>15</sup> , 2011	Infraorbital	Restylane/ preperiosteal	25	Mean 9.5 (8-15) mo	10 (40%)	13 (52%)	2 (8%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	0%0) 0
De Pasquale et al. <sup>16</sup> , 2012	Infraorbital	Uma Jeunesse/ suborbicularis	22	36 mo	(%0)0	4 (18.2%)	2 (9.1%)	6 (27.3%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Berguiga and Galatoire <sup>17</sup> , 2017	Infraorbital	Teosyal Puresense Redensity II/ suborbicularis	151	Up to 1 mo	2 (6%)	17 (11.3%)	22 (14.6%)	0,000	4 (2.6%)	1 (0.9%)	N/A	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Niforos et al. <sup>18</sup> , 2017	Infraorbital	Juvederm Volbella-L/deep plane	80	Up to 12 mo	38%	39%	26%	33%	3 (4%)	(%0)0	4(5%)	(%0)0	(%0) 0
Mustak et al. <sup>19</sup> , 2018	Infraorbital, eyebrow	Restylane/ suborbicularis	147	Mean 6.3 (5-9) y	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	46 (31.3%)	17 (11.5%)	Not specified	(%0) 0	45 (30.5%)
Cho et al. 30, 2018	Infraorbital	Cleviel Fine/not specified	6	24 wk	(%0)0	1 (11%)	(%99)9	0 (0%)	0 (%0)	(%0)0	N/A	(%0) 0	0 (0%)
Hall et al. <sup>21</sup> , 2018	Infraorbital	Juvederm Voluma XC/suborbicularis	101	Mean 12 (4-17) mo	0 (0%)	10 (10%)	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	1 (1%)	3 (3%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Hussain et al. <sup>22</sup> , 2018	Infraorbital	Juvederm Ultraplus XC/ suborbicularis	150	12 mo	6 (4%)	3 (2%)	12 (8%)	0 (0%)	0(0%)	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Mustak et al. <sup>23</sup> , 2018	Eyebrow	Restylane-L/ ROOF	20	At least 5 y	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0)0	0 (0%)	N/A	3 (15%)	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	0 (0%)
Romeo <sup>24</sup> , 2019	Eyebrow	Yvoire volume+/ ROOF	427	At least 12 mo	(%0)0	(%0)0	2 (0.4%)	0 (0%)	N/A	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Diwan et al. <sup>25</sup> , 2020	Infraorbital	Teosyal Puresense Redensity II/ preperiosteal	24	4 wk	(%0)0	1 (4.1%)	4(16.6%)	0(0%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	N/A	0 (0%)	N/A

Table 1. Continued	ntinued												
Study	Treatment	Filler agent/ method	Patient number	Follow-up*	Erythema	Bruising	Early	Early contour irregularity	Blue discoloration	Persistent edema	Late edema	Vision	Late contour irregularity
Fabi et al. <sup>26</sup> , 2021	Infraorbital	Juvederm Volbella-L/ suborbicularis	103	12 mo	(%0)0	4(3.8%)	3 (2.9%)	(%0)0	6 (5.8%)	(%0)0	3 (2.9%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Nanda et al. <sup>27</sup> , 2021	Infraorbital	Crosslinked HA/ suborbicularis	09	12 mo	20 (33%)	5 (8.3%)	5 (8.3%)	4 (6.6%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0	0 (%0)
Scarano et al. <sup>28</sup> , 2021	Eyebrow	Crosslinked HA/ ROOF	15	6 mo	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	N/A	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0	0 (%0)
Shah- Desai and Joganathan <sup>29</sup> , 2021	Infraorbital	Restylane Vital Light/subdermal	165	Mdn 6 (6-36) mo	(%0)0	100 (60.6%)	2 (1.2%)	(%0)0	3 (1.8%)	2 (1.2%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0
Vadera et		Juvederm Volbella/ subdermal	15	24 mo	(%0)0	4 (26.6%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	2 (13.3%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
al.³0, 2021	IIIIIaol Ditai	Juvederm Voluma/ supraperiosteal	15	24 mo	(%0)0	1 (6.6%)	0 (0%)	(%0) 0	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	(%0)0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Wollina and Goldman <sup>31</sup> , 2021	Infraorbital	Belotero supraperiosteal	45	1	(%0)0	4(8,8%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Diaspro et al. <sup>32</sup> , 2022	Infraorbital	Teosyal PureSense Redensity II/ suborbicularis	009	Mean 12 (4-17) mo	14 (2.3%)	6(1%)	16 (2.6%)	(%0)0	27 (4.5%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Lee et al. <sup>33</sup> , 2022	Infraorbital, crow's feet	Yvoire-Hydro/ intradermal	27	28 wk	1 (3.7%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (3.7%)	0 (0%)	(%0)0	(%0)0	(%0)0	0 (0%)
Can and BetülGözel³⁴, 2022	Upper eyelid	Low- viscosity HA/ supraperiosteal	25	Mdn 14 mo	(%0)0	0 (%)	25 (100%)	(%0)0	-	0 (0%)	(%0)0	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Biesman et al.³5, 2024	Infraorbital	Resytlane Eyelight/ supraperiosteal	287	12 mo	(%0)0	5 (1.6%)	12 (3.8%)	4 (1.3%)	1 (0.3%)	4(1.3%)	0 (%)	(%0) 0	(%0) 0
Fakih- Gomez et al.³6, 2024	Infraorbital	CPM-B/ retroseptal/ supraperiosteal	198	-	(%0)0	1 (0.5%)	1 (0.5%)	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0)0	0 (0%)	(%0)0	(%0) 0
*Follow-up times g	tiven in weeks (wk), mo	*Follow-up times given in weeks (wk), months (mo), or years (y), with mean or median (med)	mean or median (r		s when available. HA	: Hyaluronic acid, F	ROOF: Retro-orbicular	and range in parentheses when available. HA: Hyaluronic acid, ROOF: Retro-orbicularis oculi fat, N/A: Not applicable	pplicable				

The hyaluronidase dose reported in studies varies between 500 and 3000 IU, and reported injection sites are subcutaneous, retrobulbar, the infraorbital foramen, the supratrochlear and supraorbital notches, and intraarterial to the OA. 42,47 Early injection and degree of initial vision loss are considered the most important factors for treatment success. Still, 50% success within minutes was reported for hyaluronidase injection. Ocular massage, hyperbaric oxygen, intravenous steroid or mannitol, acetazolamide, and antiplatelet agents are among the various documented interventions. 3,40,42,44

#### 2. Globe Perforation

Inadvertent globe perforation and intraocular filler injection is another rare cause of filler-related vision loss, with two reported cases in the literature. One study reported a case where filler was injected into the anterior chamber via a lamellar corneal perforation, <sup>48</sup> and another reported intravitreal HA injection accompanied by retinal hole and small localized vitreous hemorrhage. <sup>49</sup> In both patients, symptoms included dull pain, chemosis, and blurred vision. No intraocular infection or significant inflammation was seen in either case. In the first case, HA was removed via irrigation and aspiration, leading to complete recovery. <sup>48</sup> In the latter case, the retinal hole was treated with laser photocoagulation and the HA was left in the vitreous under close observation, with no further complications other than cloudy vision at 2-months follow-up. <sup>49</sup>

To avoid this problem, all injections must be performed by licensed and well-trained practitioners. Conformers may be used to protect the cornea when injection is performed by inexperienced physicians or during cases with risk factors such as degenerative myopia or thyroid eye disease. Physicians must be able to recognize the signs and symptoms and be prepared to either intervene immediately, or refer the patient to an adequate ophthalmological center urgently.<sup>48</sup>

#### Acute Infection

Infection/cellulitis of the eyelid skin is rare. The reported incidence is 0.04% to 0.7%. 50,51 Acute infection presents as persistent edema accompanied by erythema, fluctuance, pain, and occasionally nodules.<sup>52</sup> The most common causative agents are Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus pyogenes. However, atypical bacteria should be suspected in cases occurring later than 2 weeks after injection. 40 Staphylococcal cellulitis may be accompanied by abscess formation. 50 Physicians should comply with the rules of sterile injection, and patients should be properly informed about postinterventional care to minimize the risk.3 Amoxicillin-clavulanate or clindamycin are recommended empiric antibiotics for first-line treatment. Topical antibiotics can be utilized in conjunction with systemic therapy. Abscesses should be drained and cultured to test antibiotic sensitivity. Patients should be monitored for systemic findings and admitted to hospital if necessary.40

Herpes simplex reactivation is another complication that physicians must be aware of, although this occurs more commonly after lip injections. Patients present with typical herpetic vesicles and lymphadenopathy. Systemic antiviral therapy should be started promptly in such cases.<sup>40</sup>

Acute conjunctivitis was reported in one patient of a series that included 24 upper eyelid injections. In this case, conjunctivitis was caused by inadvertent injection of filler into the bulbar conjunctiva and was treated by surgical removal.<sup>50</sup>

## Early Contour Irregularities

Early contour irregularities occur due to clumps of non-homogenously dispersed fillers and can be seen in up to 33% of patients (Table 1). 10-36 Thin eyelid skin and lack of subcutaneous fat tissue in the infraorbital region contribute to the aesthetically displeasing nature of this complication. Overcorrection, superficial placement, 40 and fillers with higher G' and G" values are reported risk factors. 10,14,53 Deep preperiosteal injections, using fillers with lower G' and G" values, 5 and massaging afterwards are the main methods of minimizing irregularities while maintaining sufficient volume restoration. Shah-Desai and Joganathan 29 reported subdermal microdroplet injection of very low G' and G" materials with a 0% rate of contour irregularities and proposed this method for infraorbital injection in younger patients who require less volume restoration.

When encountered, treatment options include massage and additional HA injections to smoothen the appearance of the area. Dissolving the filler with hyaluronidase is effective for cases that do not respond to conservative management.<sup>40</sup> Dosage varies according to the filler material and extent of nodules, and doses of 5 to 150 units have been reported.<sup>54</sup>

### Persistent Edema

Persistent edema starts within days after injection and persists for more than 4 weeks despite conservative management. <sup>23,55,56</sup> Its prevalence varies between 0% and 15% (<u>Table 1</u>). <sup>10-36</sup> It is non-inflammatory and non-erythematous, with a soft, pale appearance that may resemble fluid sacs. In the infraorbital area it extends beyond the borders of the injection site through the malar eminence, which is often referred to as malar edema. <sup>10</sup> On the upper eyelids it can present as puffiness around the eyes or pale edema of the upper eyelids and brow area without inflammatory findings. <sup>23,55</sup>

In the infraorbital region, edema is thought to occur due to accumulation of filler and extracellular fluid superficial to the malar septum. The malar septum is a fibrous barrier that starts at the level of the inferior orbital rim and inserts into the cheek skin approximately 3 mm below the lateral canthus, at the level of the inferior border of the orbicularis oculi muscle. It divides the suborbicularis oculi fat into superior and inferior compartments. Its relative impermeability leads to accumulation of edema within the superiorly located structures. Injection

superficial to this landmark may cause edematous accumulation, exacerbated by compression of periorbital lymphatic flow by the filler material. Hydrophilic fillers with high water uptake, such as those crosslinked with Hyalocross technology, can also lead to higher rates of persistent edema.<sup>5,39,52</sup>

Older age, skin laxity, associated skin problems (allergies, rosacea), preexisting malar mounds, and herniated fat pads are patient-related risk factors.<sup>3,10</sup>

Obtaining a detailed patient history and careful physical examination and patient selection are important steps to avoid persistent edema. Performing consecutive injections less frequently, using lower volumes, and opting for deep preperiosteal injections can decrease the risk of persistent edema. <sup>17,56</sup> However, the level of the initial injection may not always correspond to the final localization of the filler, as anterior migration can occur based on anatomical variances and filler properties. <sup>57</sup>

Upon encountering persistent edema, physicians should look for any accompanying sign of inflammation such as redness, tenderness, and nodules to rule out infection or delayed inflammatory reactions.<sup>40</sup>

Close follow-up with ice packing, elevating the head at night, and periorbital massage to increase lymphatic drainage may be effective for treatment.<sup>29,40</sup> Topical treatment includes cortisone creams, silicate creams, and triamcinolone injections.<sup>39</sup> Hyaluronidase injection of 10-50 units is usually effective in cases not responsive to conservative treatment.<sup>10,51,56</sup> Nevertheless, some cases may not completely resolve with hyaluronidase, and cases requiring up to 750 units over multiple sessions are reported in the literature.<sup>10,39,52</sup>

III. Late complications: These are categorized as late edema and late contour irregularities.

#### Late Edema

Late edema appears 1 month to years after injection. <sup>11,58,59,60</sup> Although the exact prevalence is unknown, studies show that it may occur in up to 5% of cases before the end of 12-month follow-up. <sup>11,18</sup> It can affect both the upper and lower eyelids. In a study of 78 patients with late periorbital edema, 17 cases involved upper eyelids while 61 involved lower eyelids. <sup>61</sup> Late edema of the upper eyelids may present as superomedial edema, centrolateral brow edema, or upper lid edema with ptosis. <sup>61</sup> In the lower eyelids, it presents as late chronic edema that may be accompanied by the Tyndall effect and may worsen over time. <sup>62</sup> There is no accompanying signs of inflammation such as redness, tenderness, or nodules. <sup>19,59,63</sup>

The underlying mechanism is a subject of debate. Dubinsky-Pertzov et al.<sup>61</sup> and Skippen et al.<sup>62</sup> proposed that the main mechanism was HA incarceration within the orbicularis oculi fibers leading to muscle degeneration. Histologic studies show that HA in the human body is not always completely degraded by natural processes, and some material can remain within tissues even several years after injection. This leads to degeneration of the orbicularis oculi fibers, which are then surrounded by

pools of excess extracellular matrix.<sup>60</sup> Furthermore, they argued that HA is a hydrophilic material that undergoes isovolumetric degradation in which each particle interacts with water as the filler breaks down, thus preserving the total volume. This process, along with a reduction in orbicularis oculi contractional function which would normally aid in lymphatic fluid flow, may lead to edema even years after injection.<sup>61,62</sup> More hydrophilic materials such as Hyalocross and Vycross family fillers may be more prone to cause late edema.<sup>52</sup>

When encountering a case of periocular edema, it is important to be highly suspicious of and persistently question for a history of fillers. Patients may be reluctant to admit to or forget getting filler injections.<sup>52</sup> Late non-inflammatory periorbital edema should be differentiated from delayed HSR, where edema is associated with induration, nodules, and other inflammatory findings.<sup>64</sup>

Hyaluronidase is reported to be sufficient for resolution of late edema even in cases that last several years. Dosage depends on the extend of edema and varies between 30 and 90 units.<sup>61,62</sup>

#### Late Contour Irregularities

Late contour irregularities may present as palpable masses or nodules, with or without accompanying edema and inflammation, weeks to months after injection.<sup>3</sup> The incidence is unclear because most studies had short-term follow-up, and our knowledge about this complication is mainly from case reports or series. Mustak et al.<sup>19</sup> reported a frequency of 30.5% in their series of patients with at least 5-year follow-up, with most cases being mild irregularities not requiring intervention. Late irregularities may be attributed to non-inflammatory mechanisms such as filler capsule contraction<sup>65</sup> or to delayed inflammatory reactions that include foreign body granuloma, biofilms, atypical infectious granuloma, and delayed type 4 HSR.<sup>64</sup>

Non-inflammatory late nodules are infrequent. They present as firm masses with clear borders and no inflammatory findings. Microscopic examination shows encapsulated Alcian blue-staining HA with no surrounding inflammatory cells. They are usually resolved by surgical excision of the mass. 65,66

Inflammatory nodules are accompanied by erythematous edema and tenderness. <sup>67,68,69</sup> The exact etiology may be difficult to identify with only clinical findings and skin sensitivity tests, and histopathological examination of biopsy material, tissue cultures, and polymerase chain reaction tests may be required for definitive diagnosis. <sup>4,64</sup> In a histopathological study of nodules after various types of filler injections, granuloma due to foreign body reaction and atypical infectious granuloma were reported as the most common etiologies. <sup>70</sup>

Foreign body granuloma occurs due to chronic activation of macrophages and lymphocytes around a foreign object that cannot be removed via enzymatic degradation or phagocytosis. 64,70 Histopathology reveals histiocytes and multinucleated giant cells surrounded by lymphocytes and eosinophiles. 67,68 Crosslinked filler agents are more resistant to

enzymatic degradation and may be more prone to cause foreign body granulomas,<sup>4</sup> although there is no definitive conclusion in the literature due to data scarcity and lack of a detailed filler history in these cases. Immune system reactivity or previous viral infections may also play a role.<sup>4,40</sup>

Biofilms are caused by contamination of filler with skin microbiota such as *S. aureus* and *Cutibacterium acnes*. A biofilm consists of microbial cells and an extracellular polymeric substance. In time, biofilms may trigger a continuous immune response and lead to granulomatous inflammation and late nodules.<sup>3,4,40</sup>

Atypical infectious granuloma presents as suppurative or caseating granuloma with central caseation necrosis and prominent neutrophilic infiltrate on microscopy. Mycobacterial infection with *Mycobacterium fortuitum* and *Mycobacterium marinum* has been reported as the main cause. <sup>70</sup> Infection can also be caused by a combination of various microbiologic agents such as fungal infection combined with *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, or various inflammatory mechanisms may be present at the same time. <sup>69</sup>

Delayed type 4 HSR is a cellular immune response to filler. Its general incidence after HA injections of all body parts is estimated to be 0.06%. Although the immunogenicity of HA fillers is very low, HSR can still be triggered by many factors including molecular weight, additives, and the technology of HA production. Low-molecular-weight fillers are known to have proinflammatory properties. Crosslinking may also increase the immunogenicity of a filler by altering the natural configuration of HA. Vycross family fillers are associated with higher rates of late-onset inflammatory nodules compared to other materials.

Avoiding uncertified filler materials and complying with the rules of sterile injection are important steps to avoid atypical infections and biofilm formation. 40 Skin testing 3-4 weeks prior to injection can rule out any sensitivity to agents that could cause delayed HSR. If skin testing reveals sensitivity to a certain ingredient, a different material should be preferred. 64 Medical treatment and degradation of the filler with hyaluronidase is the main treatment. There is no uniform algorithm for the dosage of hyaluronidase, and doses ranging from 30 to 300 units in total to 500 units every 48 hours have been reported.<sup>54</sup> In cases of granulomatous inflammation, oral antibiotics should be added to reduce the risk of spreading the biofilm and infection. Lincosamides, macrolides, and tetracyclines are among the suggested antibiotic agents. The presence of type 4 HSR warrants the use of oral or intralesional corticosteroids. Some authors suggest using a combination of antibiotics and corticosteroids because it is difficult to differentiate infectious etiology from HSR in most cases.3,4,40

## IV. Blue Discoloration (Tyndall Effect)

Blue discoloration is a well-known phenomenon that occurs after infraorbital HA injections. It can be observed weeks, months, or years after injection.<sup>52</sup> Its incidence varies between

0% and 31%, and higher rates are reported in studies with longer follow-up (Table 1).<sup>10-37</sup> It is often referred to as the Tyndall effect, a phenomenon that occurs due to dispersion of light from superficially located filler under the thin and translucent lower eyelid skin.<sup>72</sup> However, some authors challenge this term and suggest that the light is not scattered by the filler itself, but by colloidal material within superficial edema, especially in cases where blue discoloration occurs months to years after injection.<sup>39,73</sup>

Many factors are related to blue discoloration, including injection location, use of needle vs. cannula, rheological properties, and crosslinking technology.

Injecting into the suborbicular or supraperiosteal plane leads to lower rates of blue discoloration.<sup>17,26</sup> Diaspro et al.<sup>32</sup> stated that needle injection is superior to cannula because it allows placement of single bolus of filler into the desired deeper location, whereas a cannula is more prone to result in superior misplacement. If the physician opts for a cannula, injecting multiple small boluses and firmly massaging the area are recommended.

The G' and G" values of the filler may also play a role in the development of blue discoloration. Fillers with lower G' and G" values are reported to be less likely to cause blue discoloration despite more superior injections. However, Vadera et al. 10 conducted a study where they compared a lower G' filler injected subdermally at the medial, central, and lateral infraorbital area to a higher G' filler injected in the deep supraperiosteal plane at the lateral and inferolateral periorbital rim. They concluded that the latter technique led to a dramatic decrease in blue discoloration, required less filler volume, and had a longer-lasting effect.

On the other hand, recent studies using fillers with very low G' and G" values, marketed as "skin boosters", or fillers that contain non-crosslinked HA suggest that these products can be applied subdermally or intradermally with blue discoloration rates as low as 0-1.8%. Still, it must be kept in mind that these products have less volume-enhancing qualities and mainly target superficial wrinkles.<sup>29,33</sup>

Crosslinking technology may also play a role in blue discoloration. It is recommended to refrain from injecting Hyalocross fillers superficially despite their lower G' and G" because they are more hydrophilic and tend to bind more water and may cause more prominent blue discoloration.<sup>39,52</sup> However, Hussain et al.<sup>22</sup> reported no blue discoloration with a filler from the Hyalocross family. Vycross family fillers were also noted to cause blue discoloration more frequently.<sup>52</sup>

Hyaluronidase injection is usually sufficient for treatment. The required dose may vary according to filler material and volume, and doses of 30-75 units have been reported.<sup>75</sup>

## V. Xanthelasma Palpebrarum

Xanthelasma or xanthelasma-like lesions on the eyelids after HA filler injection are rare, with only six reported incidences in the literature. 76,77,78,79 All the cases were located on the lower eyelids and appeared as yellowish plaques around

the injected area a few weeks to months after injection. Examination usually reveals no significant hyperlipidemia. Histopathological examinations reveal foamy histiocytes filled with lipid droplets, macrophages containing material suggestive of HA fragments, and extracellular lipids in the superficial dermis. <sup>76,77</sup> Although the exact mechanism is not known, binding of filler with extravasated low-density lipoprotein in tissues leading to phagocytosis by macrophages is considered a possible mechanism. <sup>76</sup> Hyaluronidase injection, steroid injection, fluorouracil (5-FU) injection, ablative or fractionated carbon dioxide laser, erbium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet laser ablation, or surgical excision are reported as plausible treatment approaches. <sup>76,77,78,79</sup>

### VI. Filler in the Orbit

Filler in the orbit is a rare complication that can occur due to inadvertent penetration of the orbital septum during injection, 80,81,82 or migration of filler material into the orbit. 83

Inadvertent penetration of the septum may result in filler placement within the orbital fat pad or around the extraocular muscles. This can cause further bulging of the orbital fat pad and worsen the patient's appearance, acuse myositis of the periocular muscles, or lead to sight-threatening retrobulbar hemorrhage if orbital vessels are perforated. Herniated fat pads, orbital rim thinning, and orbital septum weakening are among the risk factors for inadvertent septal perforation. Although preperiosteal needle injection is associated with higher risk, cannula injections can also lead to filler placement within the orbital fat pad. Surgical removal or degradation with hyaluronidase are treatment methods. Lateral canthotomy and cantholysis is required if orbital compartment syndrome occurs.

Migration of filler into the orbit can occur after filler injection to the periorbital area or various facial areas such as the glabella, temples, zygoma, midface, or nasolabial folds. The most common symptoms are periorbital edema and a palpable mass that may appear months or years after injection. Inflammation and fibrosis within the orbit can lead to palsy of the intraorbital nerves, such as partial third nerve palsy leading to adduction deficiency. The inferior oblique muscle is located near the orbital fat and capsulopalpebral fascia. Filler materials migrating around it can cause delayed HSR or foreign body reaction and subsequent inflammation of the muscle, leading to vertical diplopia. Migration into the nasolacrimal sac can cause nasolacrimal obstruction. The forceful injection of high amounts of filler and vigorous massaging are among the proposed causes of filler migration.

Diagnosis based on clinical history alone may not be possible in many cases. Orbital imaging via computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging is useful to determine the location of filler or filler-related inflammation. Orbitotomy and histopathological examination is performed for definitive diagnosis.<sup>84</sup> Treatment is via surgical excision of the filler, degradation using hyaluronidase, or a combination of both.<sup>84</sup>

Intraorbital injection of up to 120 IU hyaluronidase is reported to be safe and effective.

## Mechanisms and Safety of Hyaluronidase for the Treatment of Hyaluronic Acid Filler-related Complications

Hyaluronidase is the main agent in the treatment of many HA filler complications. The required dosage varies depending on injection site, filler type, and amount of filler. Fillers with higher HA concentration and greater degree of crosslinking require higher doses of hyaluronidase.<sup>86</sup>

Although hyaluronidase administration is generally regarded as a safe procedure, physicians should be aware of potential HSRs such as local cutaneous reactions (0.05-0.69%), urticaria and angioedema (<0.1%), and anaphylaxis, which is rare. An intradermal sensitivity test is recommended prior to elective injections. Concomitant use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, aspirin, and vitamin C may decrease the efficacy of hyaluronidase.<sup>3,40</sup>

#### Conclusion

In this paper, we provided a detailed review of the literature on complications of cosmetic periorbital HA filler injections. The reviewed papers present heterogeneous information, as the authors used different fillers and described various injection techniques and locations. Furthermore, heterogenous terminology was used to describe similar complications. Some studies had small cohorts and short follow-up times that may not accurately reflect the incidence of long-term complications. Less common complications that develop months to years after injection were reported only in case reports.

Most complications associated with periorbital HA filler injection are mild-to-moderate immediate injection-related complications that are usually managed with conservative methods. Early contour irregularities, persistent edema, and blue discoloration are less frequent. Careful patient selection, avoiding highly hydrophilic materials, and injecting in the preperiosteal plane are important precautions. Hyaluronidase is usually effective as treatment. Acute infection is infrequent in the periorbital region, and late-onset atypical infections usually present as late-onset nodules. Knowledge is limited regarding the incidence and pathomechanisms of certain complications such as xanthelasma palpebrarum, late-onset edema, late contour irregularities, and filler in the orbit. Future studies with longer follow-up are necessary to acquire more information about these complications. Filler-related vision loss is a rare but devastating complication which is usually preventable by avoiding danger zones and injecting meticulously. Early diagnosis and prompt intervention are the most important factors for recovery.

Physicians should be thoroughly trained on the anatomy of the periocular area, the rheological properties of fillers and correct injection methods, the warning signs and symptoms of possible serious complications, methods for avoiding complications, and proper management techniques.

#### Declarations

## **Authorship Contributions**

Concept: M.B.H., H.N.B., Design: M.B.H., H.N.B., Data Collection or Processing: H.N.B., Analysis or Interpretation: H.N.B., M.B.H., Literature Search: H.N.B., Writing: H.N.B., M.B.H.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

### References

- Swift A, Liew S, Weinkle S, Garcia JK, Silberberg MB. The facial aging process from the "inside our". Aesthet Surg J. 2021;41:1107-1119.
- Bukhari SNA, Roswandi NL, Waqas M, Habib H, Hussain F, Khan S, Sohail M, Ramli NA, Thu HE, Hussain Z. Hyaluronic acid, a promising skin rejuvenating biomedicine: a review of recent updates and pre-clinical and clinical investigations on cosmetic and nutricosmetic effects. Int J Biol Macromol. 2018;120:1682-1695.
- Heydenrych I, De Boulle K, Kapoor KM, Bertossi D. The 10-point plan 2021: updated concepts for improved procedural safety during facial filler treatments. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol. 2021;14:779-814.
- Owczarczyk-Saczonek A, Zdanowska N, Wygonowska E, Placek W. The immunogenicity of hyaluronic fillers and its consequences. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol. 2021;14:921-934.
- de la Guardia C, Virno A, Musumeci M, Bernardin A, Silberberg MB. Rheologic and physicochemical characteristics of hyaluronic acid fillers: overview and relationship to product performance. Facial Plast Surg. 2022;38:116-123.
- Fagien S, Bertucci V, von Grote E, Mashburn JH. Rheologic and physicochemical properties used to differentiate injectable hyaluronic acid filler products. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2019;143:707e-720e.
- Hee CK, Shumate GT, Narurkar V, Bernardin A, Messina DJ. Rheological properties and in vivo performance characteristics of soft tissue fillers. Dermatol Surg. 2015;41(Suppl 1):373-381.
- Liu X, Gao Y, Ma J, Li J. The efficacy and safety of hyaluronic acid injection in tear trough deformity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2024;48:478-490.
- Abdlaty R, Fang Q. Skin erythema assessment techniques. Clin Dermatol. 2021;39:591-604.
- Goldberg RA, Fiaschetti D. Filling the periorbital hollows with hyaluronic acid gel: initial experience with 244 injections. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2006;22:335-343.
- Morley AM, Taban M, Malhotra R, Goldberg RA. Use of hyaluronic acid gel for upper eyelid filling and contouring. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2009;25:440-444.
- Berros P, Lax L, Bétis F. Hyalurostructure treatment: superior clinical outcome through a new protocol-a 4-year comparative study of two methods for tear trough treatment. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2013;132:924e-931e.
- Choi HS, Whipple KM, Oh SR, Priel A, Looi A, Korn BS, Kikkawa DO. Modifying the upper eyelid crease in Asian patients with hyaluronic acid fillers. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2011;127:844-849.
- Morley AM, Malhotra R. Use of hyaluronic acid filler for tear-trough rejuvenation as an alternative to lower eyelid surgery. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2011;27:69-73.
- Viana GA, Osaki MH, Cariello AJ, Damasceno RW, Osaki TH. Treatment of the tear trough deformity with hyaluronic acid. Aesthet Surg J. 2011;31:225-231
- 16. De Pasquale A, Russa G, Pulvirenti M, Di Rosa L. Hyaluronic acid filler injections for tear-trough deformity: injection technique and high-frequency

- ultrasound follow-up evaluation. Aesthetic Plast Surg. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2013;37:587-591.
- Berguiga M, Galatoire O. Tear trough rejuvenation: a safety evaluation of the treatment by a semi-cross-linked hyaluronic acid filler. Orbit. 2017;36:22-26.
- Niforos F, Acquilla R, Ogilvie P, Safa M, Signorini M, Creutz L, Kerson G, Silberberg M. A prospective, open-label study of hyaluronic acid-based filler with lidocaine (VYC-15L) treatment for the correction of infraorbital skin depressions. Dermatol Surg. 2017;43:1271-1280.
- Mustak H, Fiaschetti D, Goldberg RA. Filling the periorbital hollows with hyaluronic acid gel: Long-term review of outcomes and complications. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2018;17:611-616.
- Cho SY, Park JW, An H, Ko HJ, Kim H, Choi JK, Choi SY, Kim SM, Ko EJ, Kim BJ. Physical properties of a novel small-particle hyaluronic acid filler: In vitro, in vivo, and clinical studies. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2018;17:347-354.
- Hall MB, Roy S, Buckingham ED. Novel use of a volumizing hyaluronic acid filler for treatment of infraorbital hollows. JAMA Facial Plast Surg. 2018;20:367-372.
- Hussain SN, Mangal S, Goodman GJ. The tick technique: a method to simplify and quantify treatment of the tear trough region. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2019;18:1642-1647.
- Mustak H, Fiaschetti D, Gupta A, Goldberg R. Eyebrow contouring with hyaluronic acid gel filler injections. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2018;11:38-40.
- Romeo F. Upper Eyelid Filling Approach [U.E.F.A.] technique: state of the art after 500 consecutive patients. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2019;43:663-672.
- Diwan Z, Trikha S, Etemad-Shahidi S, Alli Z, Rennie C, Penny A. A
  prospective study on safety, complications and satisfaction analysis for tear
  trough rejuvenation using hyaluronic acid dermal fillers. Plast Reconstr Surg
  Glob Open. 2020;8:e2753.
- Fabi S, Zoumalan C, Fagien S, Yoelin S, Sartor M, Chawla S. A prospective, multicenter, single-blind, randomized, controlled study of VYC-15L, a hyaluronic acid filler, in adults for correction of infraorbital hollowing. Aesthet Surg J. 2021;41:NP1675-NP1685.
- Nanda S, Bansal S, Lakhani R. Use of hyaluronic acid fillers in treatment
  of periorbital melanosis induced by tear trough deformity: anatomical
  considerations, patient satisfaction, and management of complications. J
  Cosmet Dermatol. 2021;20:3181-3189.
- Scarano A, Rapone B, Amuso D, Inchingolo F, Lorusso F. Hyaluronic acid fillers enriched with glycine and proline in eyebrow augmentation procedure. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2022;46:419-428.
- Shah-Desai S, Joganathan V. Novel technique of non-surgical rejuvenation of infraorbital dark circles. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2021;20:1214-1220.
- Vadera S, Shome D, Kumar V, Doshi K, Kapoor R. Innovative approach for tear trough deformity correction using higher G prime fillers for safe, efficacious, and long-lasting results: a prospective interventional study. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2021;20:3147-3154.
- Wollina U, Goldman A. Correction of tear trough deformity by hyaluronic acid soft tissue filler placement inferior to the lateral orbital thickening. Dermatol Ther. 2021;34:e15045.
- Diaspro A, Calvisi L, Sito G. Hyaluronic acid gel injection for the treatment of tear trough deformity: a multicenter, observational, single-blind study. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2022;46:1860-1867.
- Lee YJ, Kim HT, Lee YJ, Paik SH, Moon YS, Lee WJ, Chang SE, Lee MW, Choi JH, Jung JM, Won CH. Comparison of the effects of polynucleotide and hyaluronic acid fillers on periocular rejuvenation: a randomized, double-blind, split-face trial. J Dermatolog Treat. 2022;33:254-260.
- Can B, BetülGözel. Detection of the safe zone for upper eyelid hyaluronic acid injections. Indian J Dermatol. 2022;67:204.

- Biesman BS, Montes JR, Radusky RC, Mersmann S, Graul VW. A prospective, multicenter, evaluator-blind, randomized, controlled study of belotero balance (+), a hyaluronic acid filler with lidocaine, for correction of infraorbital hollowing in adults. Aesthet Surg J. 2024;44:976-986.
- Fakih-Gomez N, Kadouch J, Espinoza J, Rey A, Muñoz-Gonzalez C. Nonsurgical novel technique for correction of palpebromalar groove (tear valley deformity) and extended area: the matador stab. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2025;49:2040-2048.
- Kim JH, Ahn DK, Jeong HS, Suh IS. Treatment algorithm of complications after filler injection: based on wound healing process. J Korean Med Sci. 2014;29(Suppl 3):176-182.
- King M. The Management of Bruising following Nonsurgical Cosmetic Treatment. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2017;10(2):E1-E4.
- Siperstein R. Infraorbital hyaluronic acid filler: common aesthetic side effects with treatment and prevention options. Aesthet Surg J Open Forum. 2022;4:ojac001.
- Snozzi P, van Loghem JAJ. Complication management following rejuvenation procedures with hyaluronic acid fillers-an algorithm-based approach. Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open. 2018;6:e2061.
- Hatfield J, Saad S, Housewright C. Dietary supplements and bleeding. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent). 2022;35:802-807.
- Sorensen EP, Council ML. Update in soft-tissue filler-associated blindness. Dermatol Surg. 2020;46:671-677.
- Kapoor KM, Kapoor P, Heydenrych I, Bertossi D. Vision loss associated with hyaluronic acid fillers: a systematic review of literature. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2020;44:929-944.
- Carruthers JDA, Fagien S, Rohrich RJ, Weinkle S, Carruthers A. Blindness caused by cosmetic filler injection: a review of cause and therapy. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2014;134:1197-1201.
- Hufschmidt K, Bronsard N, Foissac R, Baqué P, Balaguer T, Chignon-Sicard B, Santini J, Camuzard O. The infraorbital artery: clinical relevance in esthetic medicine and identification of danger zones of the midface. J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg. 2019;72:131-136.
- 46. Vasconcelos-Berg R, Desyatnikova S, Bonavia P, Chammas MC, Navarini A, Sigrist R. Best practices for the use of high-frequency ultrasound to guide aesthetic filler injections-part 2: middle third of the face, nose, and tear troughs. Diagnostics (Basel). 2024;14:2544.
- Chesnut C. Restoration of visual loss with retrobulbar hyaluronidase injection after hyaluronic acid filler. Dermatol Surg. 2018;44:435-437.
- Kim DY, Eom JS, Kim JY. Temporary blindness after an anterior chamber cosmetic filler injection. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2015;39:428-430.
- Liu F, Ma Y, Tang M, Zeng X, Kikkawa DO, Lu W. Inadvertent intraocular soft tissue filler injection during a facial enhancement procedure. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2021;37:e204-e206.
- Nishikawa A, Aikawa Y, Kono T. Current status of early complications caused by hyaluronic acid fillers: insights from a descriptive, observational study of 41,775 cases. Aesthet Surg J. 2023;43:893-904.
- Steinsapir KD, Steinsapir SM. Deep-fill hyaluronic acid for the temporary treatment of the naso-jugal groove: a report of 303 consecutive treatments. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2006;22:344-348.
- Wang Y, Massry G, Holds JB. Complications of periocular dermal fillers. Facial Plast Surg Clin North Am. 2021;29:349-357.
- Berros P. Periorbital contour abnormalities: hollow eye ring management with hyalurostructure. Orbit. 2010;29:119-125.
- Kroumpouzos G, Treacy P. Hyaluronidase for dermal filler complications: review of applications and dosage recommendations. JMIR Dermatol. 2024;7:e50403.
- Yu JTS, Peng L, Ataullah S. chronic eyelid edema following periocular hyaluronic acid filler treatment. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2017;33:e139-e140.
- Funt DK. Avoiding malar edema during midface/cheek augmentation with dermal fillers. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2011;4:32-36.

- Griepentrog GJ, Lemke BN, Burkat CN, Rose JG Jr, Lucarelli MJ. Anatomical position of hyaluronic acid gel following injection to the infraorbital hollows. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2013;29:35-39.
- Khalil K, Arnold N, Seiger E. Chronic eyelid edema and xerophthalmia secondary to periorbital hyaluronic acid filler injection. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2020;19:824-826.
- Khan TT, Woodward JA. Retained dermal filler in the upper eyelid masquerading as periorbital edema. Dermatol Surg. 2015;41:1182-1184.
- Teo AA, Mokhtarzadeh A, Cameron JD, Harrison AR. Late presentation of enlarging lower eyelid mass and muscle degeneration secondary to hyaluronic acid filler. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2017;33(3 Suppl):9-11.
- Dubinsky-Pertzov B, Bernardini FP, Or L, Gazit I, Hartstein ME. Late-onset upper eyelid and brow edema as a long-term complication of hyaluronic acid filler injection. Aesthet Surg J. 2021;41:NP464-NP471.
- Skippen B, Baldelli I, Hartstein M, Casabona G, Montes JR, Bernardini F. Rehabilitation of the dysmorphic lower eyelid from hyaluronic acid filler: what to do after a good periocular treatment goes bad. Aesthet Surg J. 2020; 40:197-205.
- Boger L, Fowler B, West D, Patel T. An unexpected cause of bilateral periorbital oedema. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2019;44:781-783.
- 64. Chung KL, Convery C, Ejikeme I, Ghanem AM. A systematic review of the literature of delayed inflammatory reactions after hyaluronic acid filler injection to estimate the incidence of delayed type hypersensitivity reaction. Aesthet Surg J. 2020;40:NP286-NP300.
- Choi SY, Ko EJ, Kim BJ, Song KY, Kim WS. Lump on the lower eyelid due to hyaluronic acid filler. Clin Exp Dermatol. 2016;41:94-95.
- Alijotas-Reig J, Fernández-Figueras MT, Puig L. Pseudocystic encapsulation: a late noninflammatory complication of hyaluronic acid filler injections. Dermatol Surg. 2013;39:1726-1728.
- Okada S, Okuyama R, Tagami H, Aiba S. Eosinophilic granulomatous reaction after intradermal injection of hyaluronic acid. Acta Derm Venereol. 2008;88:69-70.
- Parulan MAA, Sundar G, Lum JH, Ramachandran U. A case report on dermal filler-related periorbital granuloma formation. Orbit. 2019;38:169-172.
- Horriat N, Woods TR, Medina A. An unusual and delayed complication of hyaluronic acid filler injection: a case report. Case Reports Plast Surg Hand Surg. 2020;7:68-72.
- El-Khalawany M, Fawzy S, Saied A, Al Said M, Amer A, Eassa B. Dermal filler complications: a clinicopathologic study with a spectrum of histologic reaction patterns. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2015;19:10-15.
- Sadeghpour M, Quatrano NA, Bonati LM, Arndt KA, Dover JS, Kaminer MS. Delayed-onset nodules to differentially crosslinked hyaluronic acids: comparative incidence and risk assessment. Dermatol Surg. 2019;45:1085-1094.
- Hirsch RJ, Narurkar V, Carruthers J. Management of injected hyaluronic acid induced Tyndall effects. Lasers Surg Med. 2006;38:202-204.
- Rootman DB, Lin JL, Goldberg R. Does the tyndall effect describe the blue hue periodically observed in subdermal hyaluronic acid gel placement? Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2014;30:524-527.
- Cotofana S, Schenck TL, Trevidic P, Sykes J, Massry GG, Liew S, Graivier M, Dayan S, de Maio M, Fitzgerald R, Andrews JT, Remington BK. Midface: clinical anatomy and regional approaches with injectable fillers. Plast Reconstr Surg. 2015;136(5 Suppl):219-234.
- 75. King M. Management of tyndall effect. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2016;9:6-8.
- D'Acunto C, Pazzaglia M, Raone B, Misciali C, Badiali L, Neri I, Patrizi A. Xanthelasma palpebrarum: a new adverse reaction to intradermal fillers? Br J Dermatol. 2013;168:437-439.
- Liu A, Kollipara R, Hoss E, Goldman MP. Lower eyelid xanthelasma following hyaluronic acid filler injections to the tear troughs. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2021;20:3190-3192.
- Simões Pires V, Wender IO, Santos MF, Sartori GL, Vivian A, Dallagnese G. Xanthelasma palpebrarum after hyaluronic acid injection in the lower eyelid: a case report and review of the literature. J Cosmet Dermatol. 2021;20:2750-2752.

- Or L, Eviatar JA, Massry GG, Bernardini FP, Hartstein ME. Xanthelasma-like reaction to filler injection. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2017;33:244-247.
- Kashkouli MB, Heirati A, Pakdel F, Kiavash V. Diplopia after hyaluronic acid gel injection for correction of facial tear trough deformity. Orbit. 2012;31:330-331.
- Soares DJ, Zuliani GF. Orbital post-septal hyaluronic acid: an iatrogenic etiology compounding lower eyelid steatoblepharon. JPRAS Open. 2022;34:173-177.
- 82. Wilde CL, Ezra DG. Orbital compartment syndrome following tear trough filler injection. Orbit. 2024;43:389-391.
- Mosleh R, Mukari A, Krausz J, Hartstein ME, Azzam SH. Orbit mass secondary to migration of dermal hyaluronic acid filler. JAAD Case Rep. 2019;5:488-490.
- Hamed-Azzam S, Burkat C, Mukari A, Briscoe D, Joshi N, Scawn R, Alon E, Hartstein M. Filler migration to the orbit. Aesthet Surg J. 2021;41:Np559-NP566.
- Jordan DR, Stoica B. Filler migration: a number of mechanisms to consider. Ophthalmic Plast Reconstr Surg. 2015;31:257-262.
- Cavallini M, Papagni M, Trocchi G. Sensitivity of hyaluronic acid fillers to hyaluronidase: an in vitro analysis. J Clin Exp Dermatol Res. 2020;11:517.